

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,712	06/10/2005	Makoto Funabiki	MAT-8705US	6789
23122 RATNERPRE	7590 06/05/200 STIA	9	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			NGUYEN, MINH TRANG T	
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2419	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/538,712	FUNABIKI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Minh-Trang Nguyen	2419		

	Minn-Trang Nguyen	2419						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TW					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed af</li></ol>			cause					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		E below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		lucina or simplifyina th	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or	,							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the					
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the new or amended claims.		be entered and an ex	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	nada polon or apportada.							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected to:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attache	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:								
/Chiraq G Shah/								
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments filed 5/15/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that "the combination of One and Terry does neath the use of a cquired connection information to either establish the base station as the transfer destination or determine the transfer destination by lookup in the routing table." In response, reference is made to Fig. 4 and column 4, line 59 through column 5, line 29 in Terry reference. Terry teaches that the integrated IEEE 802.11 terminal 305 supports a plurality of IEEE 802.11 WLAN access devices 310, and a 35 UTRAN 320 (see col. 4, lines 61-65). Thus, the integrated IEEE 802.11 terminal 305 supports communications between WLAN access devices 310, 315 and communications between WLAN access devices 310, 315 and 35 UTRAN 320 is judged as the transfer destination. When communications between WLAN access devices 310, 315 and 50 UTRAN 320 is judged as the transfer destination. When communications between WLAN access devices 310, 315 are stablished, IP data packets are routed (i.e., looking up a routing table) to and from multiple IEEE 802.11 WLAN access devices 310, 315 and IP applications 330 internal to the terminal 305 (see col. 5, lines 7-29).